



INTERNATIONAL
CRYPTOGRAPHIC
MODULE CONFERENCE 2017
May 16-19 | Westin Arlington Gateway | Washington, DC

TLS Panel Discussion

Tim Hudson - Cryptsoft -(Moderator)

Steve Marquess - OpenSSL

David Hook - Bouncy Castle

Kenn White - Open Crypto Audit

Nicko van Someren - Linux Foundation

Session Overview

- ▶ TLS in an Open Source Context

Discussion Topics

Discussion Topics - General

- ▶ How many TLS implementations are there?
 - ▶ Why are there so many (or few)?
 - ▶ What motivates creation (or destruction) of implementations?
 - ▶ Is this a good (or bad) thing?
 - ▶ Do we need more (or fewer) implementations?

Discussion Topics - (Background Info)

Implementation	Developed by	Open source	Written in	Origin
Botan	Jack Lloyd	Yes	C++	US (Vermont)
BoringSSL	OpenSSL project	Yes	C , assembly	Australia/EU
Bouncy Castle	The Legion of the Bouncy Castle Inc.	Yes	Java , C#	Australia
cryptlib	Peter Gutmann	Yes	C	NZ
GnuTLS	GnuTLS project	Yes	C	EU (Greece and Sweden)
Java Secure Socket Extension (JSSE)	Oracle	Yes	Java	US
LibreSSL	OpenBSD Project	Yes	C , assembly	Canada
MatrixSSL^[7]	PeerSec Networks	Yes	C	US
mbed TLS (previously PolarSSL)	ARM	Yes	C	EU (Netherlands)
Network Security Services (NSS)	Mozilla , AOL , Red Hat , Sun , Oracle , Google and others	Yes	C , assembly	US
OpenSSL	OpenSSL project	Yes	C , assembly	Australia/EU
S2n	Amazon	Yes	C	US
SChannel	Microsoft	No		US
Secure Transport	Apple Inc.	Yes		US
wolfSSL (previously CyaSSL)	wolfSSL^[14]	Yes	C	US

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comparison_of_TLS_implementations

Discussion Topics - General

- ▶ What is the typical development time of a TLS implementation?
 - ▶ Why so long (so short) a time period?
 - ▶ How can we make it faster (or slower)?
 - ▶ Is it fundamentally different in a commercial closed source context?

Discussion Topics - (Background Info)

name	language	role(s)	version	features/limitations
<u>NSS</u>	C	C/S	-18	Almost everything, except post-handshake auth and key update
<u>Mint</u>	Go	C/S	-18	PSK resumption, 0-RTT, HRR
<u>nqsb</u>	OCaml	C/S	-11	PSK/DHE-PSK, no EC*, no client auth, no 0RTT -- live server at tls13test.nqsb.io port 4433, records traces, ping @hannesm, contains a static PSK/DHE_PSK token: id: 0x0000 secret: 0x000102030405060708090a0b0c0d0e0f101112131415161718191a1b1c1d1e1f
ProtoTLS	JavaScript	C/S	-13	EC/DHE/PSK, no HelloRetryRequest
miTLS	F*	C/S	-13	EC/DHE/PSK, no HelloRetryRequest
<u>Tris</u>	Go	S	-18	ECDHE/PSK/0-RTT, no HelloRetryRequest
<u>BoringSSL</u>	C	C/S	-18	P-256, X25519, HelloRetryRequest, resumption
Wireshark	C	other	-20	Full decryption and -19 dissection support since v2.3.0rc0-2802-gf6e04681fc (keylog format proposal), -20 since v2.3.0rc0-3395-g0807e50f69. Missing ChaCha20-Poly1305 decryption, missing 0RTT trial decryption. Tracking bug
<u>picotls</u>	C	C/S	-18	P-256, X25519, HelloRetryRequest, resumption, 0-RTT
<u>rustls</u>	Rust	C/S	-20	P-256/P-384/curve25519, HRR, resumption
<u>Haskell tls</u>	Haskell	C/S	-19	(EC)DHE w/ P* and X*, full, HRR, PSK, 0RTT
<u>Leto</u>	C#	S	-18	DHE, X25519, AES, no PSK no 0RTT. Tested against NSS
<u>OpenSSL</u>	C	C/S	-20	P-256, X25519, HelloRetryRequest, resumption, 0-RTT
<u>wolfSSL</u>	C	C/S	-18	P-256, P-384, HelloRetryRequest, resumption, KeyUpdate

<https://github.com/tlswg/tls13-spec/wiki/Implementations>

Discussion Topics - Standards

- ▶ What level of participation do those working on open source TLS implementations have on the actual TLS specification development process?
 - ▶ Why so much (so little) participation?
 - ▶ Is this is a good (or bad) thing?

Discussion Topics - Implementation Issues

- ▶ What level of cooperation is there between the open source TLS implementations?
 - ▶ Between Forks?
 - ▶ Across entirely different code bases?
 - ▶ Why so much (so little) cooperation?
 - ▶ Is this is a good (bad) thing?

Discussion Topics - Implementation Issues

- ▶ Are there common implementation issues?
 - ▶ Between Forks?
 - ▶ Across entirely different code bases?
 - ▶ What can we learn from this?
 - ▶ Should we be addressing this in a more holistic manner?

Discussion Topics - Defects

- ▶ What happens with protocol defects?
 - ▶ Between Forks?
 - ▶ Across entirely different code bases?
 - ▶ How quickly (or slowly) are protocol issues fixed?
 - ▶ What can we learn from this?

Discussion Topics - Open/Closed Source

- ▶ Is it fundamentally different in a commercial closed source context?
 - ▶ Is development longer (or shorter)?
 - ▶ Are there fewer (or more) defects?
 - ▶ How can we make it faster (or slower)?

Discussion Topics - Open/Closed Source

- ▶ What benefits are there in an open source context?
 - ▶ Development?
 - ▶ Support?
 - ▶ Defect remediation?
 - ▶ Documentation?
 - ▶ Communication?

Discussion Topics - Open/Closed Source

- ▶ What benefits are there for **validation** in an open source context?
 - ▶ Is it harder (or easier)?
 - ▶ Is it faster (or slower)?
 - ▶ Is it cheaper (or more expensive)?
 - ▶ Is it more (or less) fun?

Discussion Topics - Collaboration

- ▶ Is there enough collaboration?
 - ▶ Do we have people contributing across more than one project?
 - ▶ How frequent (or infrequent) is this?
 - ▶ Is that across languages (or just forks)?

Discussion Topics - Contribution

- ▶ Is there enough contribution?
 - ▶ Are those benefiting from open source contributing?
 - ▶ How much commercial feedback occurs?

Questions

Tim Hudson - Cryptsoft - (Moderator)

Steve Marquess - OpenSSL

David Hook - Bouncy Castle

Kenn White - Open Crypto Audit

Nicko van Someren - Linux Foundation

OpenSSL

Cryptography and SSL/TLS Toolkit