Fast, Quantum-Resistant Public-Key Solutions for Constrained Devices Using Group Theoretic Cryptography

International Cryptographic Module Conference

May 18, 2017



Derek Atkins, Chief Technology Officer

Real World Problems

How do you secure devices that have minimal processing power or energy?

- AES? Requires explicit key management Solution: use a Public Key Algorithm
- ▶ RSA, ECC, and DH? all require too much time/CPU/power
- Software implementations are too slow
- Hardware implementations require too much silicon

Need a Lightweight Solution

Enter Group Theoretic Cryptography





So What Is Group Theoretic Cryptography? Talk Outline

- Enter Group Theoretic Cryptography
- Math Primer and E-Multiplication
- Ironwood Key Agreement Protocol
- Walnut Digital Signature Algorithm
- Conclusions





Enter Group Theoretic Cryptography (GTC)

- Hard problems have been studied over 100 years
- GTC dates to the 1970s
- Computational complexity scales linearly with security (instead of quadratically like RSA, ECC, and DH)







GTC Deconstructed

- Every public-key method is based on several math foundations; GTC is no different.
- GTC leverages structured groups, matrices, permutations, and arithmetic over finite fields.
- The structured group used for GTC is the Braid Group.
- Note that there have been other uses of the Braid Group for cryptography (some of which have been broken); GTC is different than those.
- GTC is not "Braid Group Cryptography"





The Artin Braid Group

Braids

Introduced by Emil Artin in 1921, a braid is a configuration of strands of the form:







Multiplying Braids

Two braids can be concatenated to yield a third braid:







Identity Element

The braid without any crossings functions as an identity element:







Inverses

The inverse of a braid can be found by inverting the crossings and multiplying in the reverse order: the inverse of the braid β





Braid Generators

Every braid β on N strands can be viewed as a product of a sequence of braids with a single crossing, denoted b_1, \ldots, b_{N-1} , and their inverses. For example, when N = 4 we have

$$b_{1} = \bigcap_{1} | b_{2} = | \bigcap_{2} | b_{3} = | \bigcap_{3} | \bigcap_{3} | \bigcap_{1} | D_{2}^{-1} = | \bigcap_{2} | D_{3}^{-1} = | \bigcap_{3} | D_{3}^{-1} = | \bigcap_{3} | D_{3}^{-1} = | \bigcap_{3} | D_{3}^{-1} = | D_{3}^{-1$$



b

Associated Permutations

Every braid β has a natural permutation σ_{β} associated with it: for i = 1, 2, ..., N the value of $\sigma_{\beta}(i)$ is the endpoint of the strand originating at the point *i*. Below, $1 \mapsto 2, 2 \mapsto 3, 3 \mapsto 4, 4 \mapsto 1$.







Pure Braids Braids with trivial permutations

You can find braids that are not identity braids but still have a trivial permutation:



Note how each strand ends in the same position where it started.





Colored Burau Representation of B_N

Each $b_i^{\pm 1}$ is associated with the ordered pair $(CB(b_i)^{\pm 1}, \sigma_i)$ where σ_i is the transposition (i, i + 1),

By letting permutations act on the left on the matrices $CB(b_i)$ (by permuting the variable entries), the ordered pairs $(CB(b_i)^{\pm 1}, \sigma_i)$ form a semi-direct product which satisfy the braid relations. This gives a representation of B_N .



E-Multiplication (denoted *)

A one-way function, a building block for all SecureRF group-theoretic cryptographic constructions.

E-Multiplication System Data

- B_N = the braid group on N strands
- $F_q = a$ finite field of q elements.
- A set of T-values $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_N\} \subset F_q^{\times}$.
- $m \in GL(n, F_q), \sigma \in S_N$.





E-Multiplication Continued

E-Multiplication by one Artin generator

By iterating this computation we can compute the E-Multiplication of (m, σ) with an arbitrary braid element (finite product of Artin generators and their inverses).



15

E-Multiplication Example

Assume we are working in B_4F_7 with T-values 2 4 6 3. To start we have a matrix and permutation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 5 & 2 & 6 \\ 3 & 6 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, (2, 4, 3, 1$$

Next we want to apply b_2 . We apply the current permutation and T-values to the CB matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t_2 & -t_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we matrix-multiply with the finite field \mathbb{F}_7 and apply the braid twist to wind up with the following matrix and permutation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 6 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, (2,3,4,1)$$





Laurent Polynomial Entry

Short random word of length 10 in $B_4 \rtimes S_4$ – What *E*-Multiplication erases!

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t(3)} \left\{ 1 - t(1) + t(1) t(2) - \frac{1 - t(1)}{t(3)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} + \frac{1}{t(3)} \right\} \\ \\ \frac{1}{t(1)} \\ \left\{ \frac{1}{t(2)} \right\} \\ \left\{ -t(1) \left(1 - t(1) + t(1) t(2) - \frac{1 - t(1)}{t(3)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} \right) \right\} \\ \\ \\ t(1) \left(1 - t(1) + t(1) t(2) - \frac{1 - t(1)}{t(3)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} \right) \right\} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ t(3) \left(- \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} + \frac{-\frac{1 - t(1)}{t(3)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(4)} \right) - \frac{-\frac{1 - t(1)}{t(3)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} + \frac{1 - t(1)}{t(3)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} \right) - \frac{-\frac{1 - t(1)}{t(3)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} + \frac{1 - t(1)}{t(3)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} + \frac{t(3)}{t(3)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} - \frac{-\frac{1 - t(1)}{t(2)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} + \frac{-1 - t(1)}{t(3)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(4)} - \frac{1 - t(1)}{t(2)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} + \frac{-1 - t(1)}{t(2)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} - \frac{-\frac{1 - t(1)}{t(2)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} + \frac{-1 - t(1)}{t(2)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} - \frac{-1 - t(1)}{t(2)} + \frac{(1 - t(1)) t(2)}{t(3)} - \frac{1 - t(1)}{t($$





Shortest Word Problem

The (true) length of a braid is the minimal number of crossings needed to represent it. Finding this is a known hard (NP-Hard!) problem.

A given braid has many different representations in terms of crossings, because we consider two braids to be the same if one can be manipulated into the other without moving the ends or cutting.









Conjugacy Search Problem

The conjugacy search problem (CSP):

- Assume a braid of the form w a w⁻¹ (aka a conjugate) where a is known
- ► The Problem: find *w*.

Unfortunately, this is not a hard problem in many cases. There are algorithms that exist to solve this problem efficiently.

The good news is that none of these algorithms depend on the CSP.



Group Theoretic Cryptographic Constructions

Many methods. All based on E-Multiplication:

- IronwoodTM Key Agreement Protocol Enables two endpoints to generate a shared secret over an open channel.
- WalnutTM Digital Signature Algorithm Allows one device to generate a document that is verified by another. Very fast verification
- ► KayawoodTM Key Agreement Protocol
- ► HickoryTM Hash (Cryptographic Hash Function)

Today we will focus on Ironwood KAP and WalnutDSA





Group Theoretic Cryptography

Breakthrough Performance over Number Theoretic Cryptography

- Diffie-Hellman type Key Agreement
- DSA-like Digital Signature
- Based on Infinite Groups
- "Linear-in-Time" Security Strength
- Safe against known Quantum Attacks



The IronwoodTM Key Agreement Protocol

Public (system wide) Information:

- B_N (The braid group in N strands)
- F_q (A finite field with q elements)
- A matrix $m_0 \in GL(N, F_q)$

Private Information (created by TTP):

- A set of T-values $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_N\} \subset F_q^{\times}$.
- Two sets of rewritten commuting conjugates:

$$\begin{aligned} & A = \big\{ z \alpha_1 z^{-1}, \ z \alpha_2 z^{-1}, \ \dots, \ z \alpha_k z^{-1} \big\}, \\ & B = \big\{ z \beta_1 z^{-1}, \ z \beta_2 z^{-1}, \ \dots, \ z \beta_k z^{-1} \big\}. \end{aligned}$$

where some α_i are purebraids, and z is destroyed after use.



Generating Home Device (HD) Keys

Home Device private/public key pair creation

Step 1: Generate two non-singular matrices:

$$C=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}c_km_0^k,\qquad C'=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}c_k'm_0^k,\qquadig(ext{with }c_k,c_k'\in \mathbf{F}_qig).$$

Step 2: Generate two braids, β , β' with the same permutation σ .

Step 3: Using the T-values, compute the following two E-Multiplications: $(C, Id) \star (\beta, \sigma) := (CM, \sigma), \qquad (C', Id) \star (\beta', \sigma) := (C'M', \sigma).$ **Step 4:** Compute the HD public key:

 $Pub_{HD} = (CM(C'M')^{-1})^{-1} = C'M'M^{-1}C^{-1}$



HD Keys: What Does This Mean?

- C and C' are private matrices
 Fixed Size (based on N and q)
 Need to be kept secure
- β and β' are private braids
 Variable Size
 Also need to be kept secure
- The public key (Pub_{HD}) is a also matrix, which gets shared with other devices.

It can be encoded into a certificate for identity verification.

► T-values are also stored on the device and must remain secure.





Generating the Other Device (OD) Keys (Tokens)

Other Device private/public data creation

Step 1: Generate a non-singular matrix:

$$C_i = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} c_{k,i} m_0^k, \qquad \qquad ig(ext{with } c_{k,i} \in \mathbf{F}_{q} ig),$$

Step 2: Generate a random braidword β_i using the Other Device conjugates.

Step 3: Compute the public key: $Pub_i := (C_i, Id) \star (\beta_i, \sigma_i) = (M_i, \sigma_i)$, where *Id* is the identity permutation.

Note that this operation must occur on the TTP, and the resulting data must be securely provisioned onto the device. Also note that this device does not need access to the T-values.



OD Keys: What Does This Mean?

Data stored on e.g. a smartphone

- C_i is a private matrix
 Fixed Size (based on N and q)
 Needs to be kept secure
 (Same size at the matrix on the HD)
- ► The public key (*Pub_i*) is a matrix plus permutation, which gets shared.

It is signed by the CA.





Ironwood KAP Shared Secret

After keys are generated, the Ironwood KAP proceeds as follows:

Step 1: Devices exchange public keys (*Pub_i* and *Pub_{HD}*)

Step 2: Home Device computes the following two E-Multiplications: $(CM_i, \sigma_i) \star (\beta, \sigma) := (Y, \sigma_i \sigma), \quad (C'M_i, \sigma_i) \star (\beta', \sigma) := (Y', \sigma_i \sigma).$

Step 3: Home Device computes the column vectors: $s = (N/2)^{\text{th}}$ column of the matrix Y, $s' = (N/2)^{\text{th}}$ column of the matrix Y'.

Step 4: Home Device sends s to the other device.

Step 5: Other Device computes the matrix and vector multiplications:

$$s' = C_i (C'M'M^{-1}C^{-1}) C_i^{-1} \cdot s = C_i Pub_{HD} C_i^{-1}$$

where s' is the shared secret

Shared Secret: What Does That Mean?

- ▶ The devices share their public keys (*Pub_i* and *Pub_{HD}*)
- Certificates are validated
- \blacktriangleright The HD computes two column vectors, s and s'
- It sends s to the other device; s' is the shared secret
- ► The other device computes the shared secret (s') using Pub_{HD}, C_i, and s





Walnut Digital Signature AlgorithmTM

- Quantum-resistant public-key digital signatures
- Based on SecureRF's Group Theoretic Cryptography
- Leverages E-Multiplication for extremely fast verification
- Security is based on the hard problems of solving a novel equation over the braid group and reversing *E*-Multiplication





WalnutDSATM Architecture



SECURE RF

Generating WalnutDSA Keys

- ► Choose BnFq (e.g. B8F32 for 2¹²⁸ security level (sl))
- ▶ Generate Random T-values (not 0 or 1)
- Choose exactly two (2) T-values (positions a,b) as 1
- ► Choose Priv_S as a random braid of length at least n sl/(4log₂((n − 1)(n − 2)))
- ▶ Compute and Distribute Public Key (*Pub_S*) as:
 - T-values
 - *E*-Multiplication result: $(1, 1) \star Priv_S$





WalnutDSA Signature Generation

- ► Take a 256-bit message (e.g. M=Hash(Input), for 2¹²⁸ security)
- Encode as a braid: $\mathcal{E}(M)$
- Choose a random number 1 < i < n
- Generate Cloaking Elements v and v_1 as purebraids
 - Built by conjugating a square of a braid generator ($w b_i^2 w^{-1}$), where
 - The permutation of w takes element i to a and i + 1 to b for v (v₁ is constructed in an analogous manner)
 - ► The purpose of the cloaking elements are to obscure *Priv_S* from the CSP. They stabilize the *E*-Multiplication action.
- Signature is: $Priv_{S}^{-1} \vee \mathcal{E}(M) Priv_{S} v_{1}$ rewritten to hide the form



More on WalnutDSA Cloaking Elements

- Recall that CSP requires a braid of the form $z a z^{-1}$
- A Cloaking Element is a special braid that disappears during E-Multiplication but protects a braid from the CSP by preventing the necessary form
- Formed by a braid $\beta_{CE} = w b_i^2 w^{-1}$
- The result is that $(1,1)\star \beta_{\textit{CE}} = (1,1)$
- Based on the actual form of w and choices of a, b, and i, a cloaking element can also result in (1, σ) ★ β_{CE} = (1, σ)

Note: Cloaking Elements are a novel idea by SecureRF.



WalnutDSA Signature Validation

- ► Take a 256-bit message (e.g. M=Hash(Input))
- Encode as a braid: *E(M)*
- Compute the *E*-Multiplication: $(Mat_M, Perm1) = (1, 1) \star \mathcal{E}(M)$
- Multiply Mat_M with Pub_S : $Mat_1 = Mat_M \cdot Pub_S$
- ► Compute the *E*-Multiplication: (*Mat*₂, *Perm*₂) = *Pub*₅ ★ *Sig*
- Verify that the matrices are equal: Mat₁ =? Mat₂





WalnutDSA: Did you notice?

- Structurally similar to DSA, ECDSA (versus RSA signatures)
- ► No TTP Required
- No Public Conjugates
- No Public System-wide Data





Performance of WalnutDSA Verification

Platform	Clock	WalnutDSA			ECDSA			Gain
	MHz	ROM^1	RAM^1	$Time^2$	ROM^1	RAM^1	Time ²	(Time)
MSP430	8	3244	236	46	20-30K ³	2-5K	1000-3000	21-63x
8051	24.5	3370	312	35.3				
ARM M3	48	2952	272	5.7	7168 4	540	233	40.8×
FPGA	50			0.05			2.08 5	41.6×

B_8F_{32} , 2¹²⁸ Security level (equivalent to ECC P256)

¹ ROM/RAM in Bytes

² Time is in milliseconds.

³ C.P.L. Gouvêa and J. López, Software implementation of Pairing-Based Cryptography on sensor networks using the MSP430 micro controller, Progress in Cryptology, Indocrypt 2009

⁴ Wenger, Unterluggauer, and Werner in 8/16/32 Shades of Elliptic Curve Cryptography on Embedded Processors in Progress in Cryptology, Indocrypt 2013

⁵ Jian Huang, Hao Li, and Phil Sweany, An FPGA Implementation of Elliptic Curve Cryptography for Future Secure Web Transaction, 2007.



"The National Security Agency is advising US agencies and businesses to prepare for a time in the not-too-distant future when the cryptography protecting virtually all e-mail, medical and financial records, and online transactions is rendered obsolete by quantum computing"

Source: Ars Technica, August 21, 2015



D-Wave System Chip with Quantum Properties

SecureRF's Cryptography Methods are quantum-resistant to all known attacks





Quantum Resistance

- Two important quantum methods: Shor's Algorithm and Grover's Search Algorithm
- Shor: Breaks ECC, RSA, and DH by quickly factoring or solving the discrete log problem
 - ► Requires the method's math be Finite, Cyclic, and Commutative
 - GTC is neither Cyclic nor Commutative, and the underlying group is Infinite, so Shor does not apply
- Grover's Search Algorithm reduces the security level (e.g. AES-128 becomes 64-bit secure)
 - Doubling the security of GTC requires doubling the key size which only doubles the runtime



38

Commercial Use Cases

- Anti-counterfeiting Example: an industrial partner protecting replacement parts
- Credentialing Example: a faster, quantum-resistant replacement for a CAC Card
- Fast, Quantum-Resistant Secure Boot
 Example: a RISC-V processor that validates its firmware before it runs
- Mutual Authentication of IoT Devices
- Chips that can prove their identity without secure databases





Demonstration

Ironwood versus ECDH speedtest on a Microsemi Smartfusion2

- 182µs versus 370ms per authentication
- ARM: 100MHz, Fabric: 50Mhz







Conclusions

- GTC enables novel Public Key methods suitable for low-power, passive, and otherwise constrained devices
- ► Significantly faster than ECC, RSA, and DH
- Performance scales linearly (as opposed to quadratically) as security increases
- The underlying core technology (E-Multiplication) can also be used to form block cipher, hash, and prng
- Algorithms are quantum resistant
- ► Has a small footprint for hardware and software implementations

We believe GTC has a big future in the IoT universe.





Thank You! Any Questions?

SecureRF Corporation 100 Beard Sawmill Rd, Suite 350 Shelton, CT 06484 (203) 227-3151

Derek Atkins, CTO (datkins@securerf.com)





Copyright \bigcirc 2017. SecureRF Corporation. All Rights Reserved. All trademarks and service marks, which may be registered in certain jurisdictions, belong to SecureRF Corporation or the holder or holders of such marks.